Acta Crystallographica Section C **Crystal Structure Communications**

ISSN 0108-2701

Hexakis(melaminium) tetrakis-(dihydrogenphosphate) monohydrogenphosphate tetrahydrate

Ian Ianczak^a*† and Genivaldo Iulio Perpétuo^b

^aDepartamento de Química, Instituto de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, CEP 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil, and ^bDepartamento de Fisica, Instituto de Ciências Exatas e Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, CEP 35.400-000, Ouro Preto, MG, Brazil

Correspondence e-mail: jjanek@dedalus.lcc.ufmg.br

Received 23 April 2002 Accepted 12 June 2002 Online 12 July 2002

The crystal structure of the new melaminium salt, hexakis(2,4,6-triamino-1,3,5-triazin-1-ium) tetrakis(dihydrogenphosphate) monohydrogenphosphate tetrahydrate, $6C_3H_7N_6^+$. $4H_2PO_4^{-}$ ·HPO $_4^{2-}$ ·4H₂O, is built up from singly protonated melaminium residues, dihydrogenphosphate and monohydrogenphosphate anions, and water molecules. The melaminium residues are interconnected by four N-H···N hydrogen bonds, forming chains along the [001] direction. These chains of melaminium residues form stacks aligned along [100]. The dihydrogenphosphate anions interact with the monohydrogenphosphate anions via the H atoms and. together with hydrogen-bonded dimers of the water molecules, develop layers parallel to the (010) plane. The oppositely charged moieties interact via multiple N-H···O hydrogen bonds that stabilize the stacking structure.

Comment

The present study is a continuation of our investigation of the hydrogen bonds formed by melamine in the solid state (Janczak & Perpétuo, 2001a,b,c,d; Perpétuo & Janczak, 2002). Melamine and its organic and inorganic complexes or salts can develop supramolecular structures via



multiple hydrogen bonds through self-assembly of components which contain complementary arrays of hydrogenbonding sites (MacDonald & Whitesides, 1994; Row, 1999;

Krische & Lehn, 2000; Sherrington & Taskinen, 2001). As part of a study of the solid-state properties of these compounds, we report here the structure of the title compound, (I).

Crystals of melaminium selenate exhibit second-harmonic generation (SHG) with about 40% efficiency (Marchewka et al., 2002). Compound (I) is being studied as a potential material for non-linear optics since, like the selenate salt, it crystallizes in a non-centrosymmetric space group. Additionally, the X-ray geometry of (I) is compared here with the ab initio fully optimized parameters calculated at the HF/6-31G(d,p) level (Frisch *et al.*, 1995). The *ab initio* molecularorbital calculations were carried out on the isolated ions, i.e. the monoprotonated melaminium cation and the phosphate $H_2PO_4^{-}$ and HPO_4^{2-} anions, and the results are illustrated in Schemes 1 and 2, where values are given in Å and in °.



The asymmetric unit of (I) consists of six melaminium residues, each protonated at one ring N atom, four dihydrogenphosphate anions, $H_2PO_4^{-}$, one monohydrogenphosphate anion, HPO4²⁻, and four water molecules (Fig. 1). The six independent melaminium cations do not differ significantly, but the six-membered aromatic rings exhibit significant distortions from the ideal hexagonal form. In all the melaminium residues, the internal C-N-C angle at the protonated N atom (N11, N21, N31, N41, N51 and N61) is significantly greater (mean 119.4°) than the other two C–N– C angles within the ring (mean 115.9°). This is a result of the steric effect of a lone-pair electron, predicted by the valenceshell electron-pair repulsion theory (VSEPR; Gillespie, 1963, 1992).

[†] Alternative address: Institute of Low Temperature and Structure Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, PO Box 1410, 50-950 Wrocław, Poland.

As a result of the protonation of the melamine ring at one ring N atom (N11, N21, N31, N41, N51 and N61), the internal N-C-N angles containing only non-protonated N atoms (mean 125.5°) are significantly greater than the N-C-N angles containing both protonated and non-protonated N atoms (mean 121.5°). The correlation between the internal C-N-C angles within the melaminium rings is similar to those reported for the crystals of barbituric acid with melamine (Zerkowski *et al.*, 1994), melaminium phthalate (Janczak & Perpétuo, 2001*a*), melaminium chloride hemihydrate (Janczak & Perpétuo, 2001*c*), bis(melaminium) sulfate



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) showing (a) the melaminium cations and (b) the phosphate anions and water molecules. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

dihydrate (Janczak & Perpétuo, 2001*d*) and melaminium acetate monohydrate acetic acid solvate (Perpétuo & Janczak, 2002), *i.e.* those singly protonated melaminium salts that have been previously structurally characterized. The *ab initio* optimized geometry calculated at the HF/6-31G(*d,p*) level (Frisch *et al.*, 1995) on the singly protonated melaminium residue (Scheme 1) shows a similar correlation between the C-N-C and N-C-N angles within the ring to that in the crystal. Thus, the ring distortion of singly protonated melaminium residues results mainly from the protonation, and, to a lesser degree, from the hydrogen-bonding system and the crystal packing.

Each melaminium residue in the crystal of (I) is involved in nine hydrogen bonds, in seven of them as donor H and in the remaining two as acceptor H. The four almost linear N-H...N bonds link the melaminium residue with two neighbouring melaminium residues, forming a chain along the [001] direction (Fig. 2a), while the remaining five much more bent N-H···O bonds link chains of melaminium residues with phosphate anions and/or a water molecule. The melaminium residue M1 (containing atoms N11, N12, N13, N14, N15, N16, C11, C12 and C13; the first number is the number of the melaminium residue and the second is the atom number) is involved in N-H···O hydrogen bonds with a water molecule (O2W), the monohydrogenphosphate anion (O11) and two different dihydrogenphosphate anions, forming two hydrogen bonds with one of them (O21 and O24). The melaminium residues M2 and M3 are involved in $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds with two water molcules, M2 with O2W and O3W, and M3 with O1W and O4W. Additionally, residue M2 links two $H_2PO_4^-$ and one HPO_4^{2-} anion, while residue M3 is involved in N-H···O hydrogen bonds with three different $H_2PO_4^$ anions. Residues M4 and M5 do not form any hydrogen bonds with water molecules. Residue M4 forms $N-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds with two different $H_2PO_4^-$ anions and with two HPO_4^{2-} anions, forming two hydrogen bonds to one of them. Residue M6 forms hydrogen bonds with a water molecule (O4W) and with three different $H_2PO_4^-$ anions, forming two hydrogen bonds with one of them (atoms O31 and O32 of the same $H_2PO_4^-$ anion).

The geometries of the four independent dihydrogenphosphate anions, $H_2PO_4^-$, do not differ significantly, with the two single P-OH bonds ranging from 1.545 (2) to 1.569 (2) Å, and the other two P–O bonds ranging from 1.485 (2) to 1.524 (2) Å. These values correlate well with those observed in the crystals of other compounds containing the dihydrogenphosphate anion (Allen et al., 1987), but they differ from the optimized bond lengths calculated for the dihydrogenphosphate anion. The greatest differences are observed between the values of the O-P-O angle containing both non-protonated O atoms and in the P–OH bond lengths (see Scheme 2). The optimized O-P-O angle in the H₂PO₄⁻ anion is about 10° greater than those in the crystal, and can be explained by the steric effect of the three lone pairs of electrons on both non-protonated O atoms. The observed P-OH bond lengths in the crystal are shorter than the optimized values, which is likely to be due to the intermolecular interactions present in the crystal, since the calculated values refer to the isolated non-interacting $H_2PO_4^-$ anion. The X-ray geometry of the monohydrogenphosphate anion, HPO_4^{2-} , differs slightly from the optimized results (Scheme 2). In particular, the single P–OH bond is significantly longer (0.145 Å) in the optimized HPO_4^{2-} anion than in the crystal. The differences in the P–O distances for equivalent bonds in the $H_2PO_4^-$ and HPO_4^{2-} anions correlate with the number and strength of the hydrogen bonds in which the O atoms are involved (Table 2).

The monohydrogenphosphate anion, HPO_4^{2-} , is involved in nine hydrogen bonds, in eight of them as acceptor H and in one as donor H. The HPO_4^{2-} anion forms hydrogen bonds as acceptor H with four melaminium residues *via* their amine H atoms, forming two hydrogen bonds with one melaminium residue (*via* an amine H atom and the H atom at the protonated ring N atom), and with two $H_2PO_4^-$ anions, and acts as a donor H to atom O34 of one $H_2PO_4^-$ anion.

Each of the four independent $H_2PO_4^-$ anions is involved in nine hydrogen bonds, in seven as acceptor H and in two as



Figure 2

The crystal packing of (I), showing (a) the stacking structure, where the dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds and H atoms have been omitted for clarity, and (b) the layer of hydrogen-bonded dihydrogenphosphate and monohydrogenphosphate anions with the hydrogen-bonded dimeric structure of the water molecules. The layer in (b) is parallel to the (010) plane.

donor H. The dihydrogenphosphate anion containing atom P21 forms hydrogen bonds with four different melaminium residues, forming two hydrogen bonds with one of them via an amine H atom and the H atom at the protonated ring N atom, and with two other $H_2PO_4^-$ anions (containing P31 and P41), a monohydrogenphosphate anion (HPO_4^{2-}) and two water molecules (O2W and O3W). The P21-O24 bond is longer than P21-O23 (both bonds chemically equivalent), since atom O24 acts as acceptor H in two stronger hydrogen bonds than atom O23. The $H_2PO_4^-$ anion containing atom P31 is involved as acceptor H with four melaminium residues (forming two hydrogen bonds with one), three different $H_2PO_4^-$ anions and the HPO_4^{2-} anion, and does not form hydrogen bonds with water molecules. The $H_2PO_4^-$ anion containing atom P41 is involved as acceptor H with three melaminium residues (forming two hydrogen bonds with one), two $H_2PO_4^-$ anions and one water molecule (O1W), and as donor H with $H_2PO_4^{-}$ and HPO_4^{2-} anions. The $H_2PO_4^{-}$ anion containing atom P51 as acceptor H forms hydrogen bonds with four different melaminium residues, one water molecule (O3W) and one $H_2PO_4^-$ ion (containing atom P31), and as donor H, it forms hydrogen bonds with H₂PO₄⁻ (containing atom P41) and the HPO_4^{2-} anion.

The water molecules form hydrogen-bonded dimeric structures, with $O2W-H22W\cdots O1W(x - 1, y, z) = 2.825$ (2) and $O4W-H24W\cdots O3W(x, y, z - 1) = 2.863$ (2) Å. This hydrogen-bonded dimeric structure of the water molecules forms a two-dimensional layer, parallel to the (010) plane, with the mono- and dihydrogenphosphate anions, *via* $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2*b*).

In the crystal of (I), the melaminium residues form planar positively charged chains, interconnected by complementary $N-H \cdots N$ bonds, forming stacks parallel to the (010) plane (Fig. 2a). The hydrogen-bonded dihydrogenphosphate and monohydrogenphosphate anions are interconnected by water molecules, forming negatively charged layers that are located in the crystal parallel to the (010) plane. Both oppositely charged moieties are extensively interconnected by multiple hydrogen bonds that stabilize the structure (Fig. 2a). Full details of the hydrogen-bonding geometry are given in Table 2.

Experimental

Melanine was dissolved in a 20% solution of phosphoric acid and the resulting solution was slowly evaporated. After several days, colourless crystals of the title salt, (I), appeared.

Crystal data

5	
${}^{6}C_{3}H_{7}N_{6}^{+}\cdot 4H_{2}PO_{4}^{-}\cdot HPO_{4}^{2-}\cdot 4H_{2}O$ $M_{r} = 1318.86$	D_m measured by flotation in 1,2-di- chloroethane/1,2-dibromoethane
Monoclinic, P2 ₁	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 11.341 (2) \text{\AA}$	Cell parameters from 4999
b = 20.965 (4) Å	reflections
c = 12.334(2) Å	$\theta = 2.7 - 29^{\circ}$
$\beta = 117.80 \ (3)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.29 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 2594.1 (11) \text{ Å}^3$	T = 293 (2) K
Z = 2	Parallelepiped, colourless
$D_x = 1.689 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	$0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$
$D_{\rm m} = 1.69 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$	

organic compounds

Data collection	
Kuma KM-4 diffractometer with a two dimensional CCD area- detector ω scans Absorption correction: analytical face-indexed (<i>SHELXTL</i> ; Sheldrick, 1990) $T_{min} = 0.913, T_{max} = 0.931$	25 398 measured reflections 12 689 independent reflections 10 664 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.014$ $\theta_{max} = 29.1^{\circ}$ $h = -15 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -28 \rightarrow 28$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$ $wR(F^2) = 0.067$ S = 1.00 12 689 reflections 773 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0333P)^2 + 0.2410P]$ $where P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$	$\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max}=0.002\\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max}=0.23 \ {\rm e}\ {\rm \AA}^{-3}\\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min}=-0.28 \ {\rm e}\ {\rm \AA}^{-3}\\ {\rm Extinction\ correction:\ SHELXL97}\\ ({\rm Sheldrick,\ 1997})\\ {\rm Extinction\ coefficient:\ 0.00059\ (12)}\\ {\rm Absolute\ structure:\ Flack\ (1983),\ 6821\ Friedel\ pairs}\\ {\rm Flack\ parameter\ =\ 0.11\ (4)} \end{array}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

P11-O13	1.4969 (13)	P31-O32	1.5588 (12)
P11-O14	1.5261 (12)	P31-O31	1.5688 (12)
P11-O12	1.5357 (12)	P41-O43	1.4929 (14)
P11-O11	1.5832 (14)	P41-O44	1.5239 (15)
P21-O23	1.4985 (15)	P41-O42	1.5454 (14)
P21-O24	1.5101 (13)	P41-O41	1.5571 (14)
P21-O21	1.5569 (14)	P51-O54	1.4854 (15)
P21-O22	1.5672 (15)	P51-O53	1.5093 (15)
P31-O33	1.5030 (13)	P51-O52	1.5605 (15)
P31-O34	1.5098 (14)	P51-O51	1.5787 (14)
O13-P11-O14	113.09 (8)	O33-P31-O31	108.85 (8)
O13-P11-O12	112.97 (7)	O34-P31-O31	111.16 (8)
O14-P11-O12	108.41 (7)	O32-P31-O31	101.65 (7)
O13-P11-O11	106.01 (7)	O43-P41-O44	112.55 (9)
O14-P11-O11	108.10(7)	O43-P41-O42	112.72 (9)
O12-P11-O11	108.01 (8)	O44-P41-O42	107.62 (9)
O23-P21-O24	114.09 (8)	O43-P41-O41	110.71 (8)
O23-P21-O21	109.71 (9)	O44-P41-O41	108.10 (9)
O24-P21-O21	107.71 (8)	O42-P41-O41	104.75 (9)
O23-P21-O22	108.84 (9)	O54-P51-O53	115.14 (10)
O24-P21-O22	110.41 (8)	O54-P51-O52	113.39 (9)
O21-P21-O22	105.75 (9)	O53-P51-O52	103.77 (8)
O33-P31-O34	112.99 (7)	O54-P51-O51	104.65 (8)
O33-P31-O32	111.26 (8)	O53-P51-O51	111.76 (9)
O34-P31-O32	110.36 (8)	O52-P51-O51	108.11 (9)
			. ,

All H atoms were located from difference Fourier maps. In the final refinement, H atoms bonded to the N atoms of the melamine residues were treated as riding, with N-H = 0.86 Å; the H atoms of the phosphate anions were constrained, with O-H = 0.82 Å. The H atoms of the water molecules were refined and the resulting range of O-H distances was 0.78 (2)–0.86 (2) Å.

Data collection: *KM*-4 *CCD Software* (Kuma Diffraction, 2000); cell refinement: *KM*-4 *CCD Software*; data reduction: *KM*-4 *CCD Software*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1990); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

JJ thanks the CNPq foundation for financial support.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$
O11-H111O34	0.82	1.896	2.648 (2)	152
$O21 - H121 \cdots O44^{i}$	0.82	1.779	2.490 (2)	144
$O22 - H122 \cdots O14$	0.82	1.829	2.580(2)	152
$O_{31} - H_{131} \cdots O_{53}$	0.82	1.921	2.599(2)	139
$032 - H132 \cdots 024$	0.82	1 766	2.502(2)	148
0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	0.82	1 742	2.502(2) 2.529(2)	160
$042 - H142 \dots 012$	0.82	1.843	2.523(2)	141
$0.12 - H1.51 \cdots 0.044^{iii}$	0.82	1.963	2.555(2) 2 683(2)	146
$052 - H152 \cdots 014^{iv}$	0.82	2 161	2.503(2) 2 594(2)	113
N11 H11 $O24^{v}$	0.86	1.824	2.594(2)	164
N14_H144N22	0.86	2 115	2.001(2) 2.974(2)	177
$N14 - H14B \cdots O11^{v}$	0.86	2 290	2.977(2)	138
$N15 - H154 \cdots O33$	0.86	2.046	2.987(2)	166
N15 - H15B - O2W	0.86	2.078	2.886(2)	156
$N16 - H164 N23^{vi}$	0.86	2.076	3.043(2)	174
$N16 - H16B - O21^{v}$	0.86	2.100	3.074(2)	163
$N21 H21 053^{i}$	0.86	2.172	2.869(2)	164
$N24 = H244 \dots O11^{v}$	0.86	2.034	3261(2)	162
$N24 = H24B \dots O3W^{vii}$	0.86	2.452	2,201(2)	145
$N25 H254N13^{i}$	0.86	2.105	2.909(2)	145
$N_{25} = 1125A \cdots N_{15}$ $N_{25} = 1125A \cdots N_{15}$	0.86	2.155	2.991(2)	121
$N_{23} = 1123D \cdots 033$	0.80	2.203	2.640(2)	131
$N_{20} = H_{20}A \cdots N_{12}$	0.86	2.157	2.997 (2)	170
$N_{20} - H_{20} - H$	0.80	1.2.31	2.657(2)	127
$N_{24} H_{244} O_{54}^{Viii}$	0.86	2.024	2.099(2)	167
$N_{24} = H_{24} R = O_1 W^{i_X}$	0.80	2.034	2.079(2)	107
$N_{34} = H_{34} + H$	0.80	2.065	2.601(2)	130
$N_{25} = H_{25} P = O_{22}$	0.80	2.109	2.908(2)	170
$N33 - H35B \cdots O25$ $N26 H264 N62^{vi}$	0.80	2.072	2.730 (2)	155
$N_{30} = H_{30}A \cdots N_{02}$	0.86	2.103	3.022(2)	1/8
$N_{30} - H_{30} - H$	0.80	2.145	2.779(2)	150
$N41 - H41 \cdots O12$	0.86	1.845	2.095 (2)	160
$N44 - H44A \cdots O13$ $N44 - H44B = O51^{viii}$	0.80	2.071	2.920(2)	109
$N44 - \Pi 44D \cdots O31$	0.80	2.500	3.140(2)	131
$N45 = H45A \cdots N52$ N45 = H45B = O14	0.86	2.188	3.047(2)	170
$N43 - \Pi43D \cdots O14$ $N46 - H464 - N52^{vi}$	0.80	2.000	2.639(2)	170
$N40 - H40A \cdots N35$ N46 + H46B - O41	0.80	2.082	2.930(2)	172
$N40 - 1140D \cdots 041$ N51 H51 042^{ix}	0.80	1 799	2.980(2)	120
N51 - H51 + 045	0.80	1.700	2.025(2)	105
$N54 - H54R - O44^{ix}$	0.80	2.175	3.031(2)	170
$N54 - H54D \cdots O44$	0.80	2.515	5.120(2)	156
N55 H55P O22	0.86	2.504	3.100(2)	134
N55-H554 N42	0.86	2.292	2.990(2)	139
$N56 H56B O12^{ix}$	0.80	2.090	2.955(2)	174
$N_{50} - H_{50} - H_{50}$	0.80	2.103	2.708(2)	154
$N64 H644 N22^{i}$	0.80	2.004	2.914(2)	109
$N64 \rightarrow H64R \rightarrow 054^{V}$	0.80	2.109	2.908(2)	177
$N04 - H04D \cdots O34$ $N65 H654 O4W^{i}$	0.80	2.295	2.923(2)	150
$N_{05} = H_{05}A \cdots O4W$	0.80	2.100	2.936(2)	1/1
$N03 - H03D \cdots O23$ N66 H664 N22	0.80	2.142	2.965 (5)	100
$N00 - H00A \cdots N55$ $N66 + H66B - O22^{V}$	0.80	2.140	3.000(2)	1/0
$N00 - H00B \cdots O32$	0.80	2.058	2.880(2)	100
01W = 111W = 043 01W = 121W = 012	0.62(2)	2.10(2)	2.341 (2)	134(2)
01W - 1121W + 013 02W + 112W - 022	0.70(2)	1.7/(2) 1.06(2)	2.120 (2)	100(2)
$O_2 W = 1112 W \cdots O_{23}$	0.62(2)	1.90(2)	2.703 (2)	100(2)
$O_2W = \Pi_{22}W \cdots O_1W^{m}$	0.63(2)	1.96(2)	2.023 (2)	1/5(2) 145(2)
$O_{2W} = \Pi_{12W} \cdots O_{21}$	0.00(2)	2.09 (2)	2.033(2) 2.741(2)	143(2) 152(2)
$O_3W = \Pi_{23}W \cdots O_{34}$	0.63(2)	1.90(2)	2.741(2)	135(2) 145(2)
$O_{4}W = \Pi_{2} + W \cdots O_{3}W$	0.00(2)	2.17(2)	2.003 (2)	143 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, 1 + z; (ii) 1 + x, y, z; (iii) x - 1, y, z; (iv) x - 1, y, z - 1; (v) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 1 - z$; (vi) x, y, z - 1; (vii) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 2 - z$; (viii) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, -z$; (ix) $2 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 1 - z$.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GD1207). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

 Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876–881.

- Frisch, M. J. et al. (1995). GAUSSIAN94. Revision D4. Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Gillespie, R. J. (1963). J. Chem. Educ. 40, 295-301.
- Gillespie, R. J. (1992). Chem. Soc. Rev. 21, 59-69.
- Janczak, J. & Perpétuo, G. J. (2001a). Acta Cryst. C57, 123-125.
- Janczak, J. & Perpétuo, G. J. (2001b). Acta Cryst. C57, 873-875.
- Janczak, J. & Perpétuo, G. J. (2001c). Acta Cryst. C57, 1120-1122.
- Janczak, J. & Perpétuo, G. J. (2001*d*). Acta Cryst. C57, 1431–1433.
- Krische, M. J. & Lehn, J. M. (2000). Struct. Bonding, 96, 3-29.
- Kuma (2000). KM-4 CCD Software. Version 163. Kuma Diffraction, Wrocław, Poland.
- MacDonald, J. C. & Whitesides, G. M. (1994). Chem. Rev. 94, 2383–2420. Marchewka, M., Janczak, J., Debrus, S., Baran, J. & Ratajczak, H. (2002). J. Mol. Struct. Accepted.
- Perpétuo, G. J. & Janczak, J. (2002). Acta Cryst. C58, 0112-0114.

Row, T. N. G. (1999). Coord. Chem. Rev. 183, 81-100.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sherrington, D. C. & Taskinen, K. A. (2001). Chem. Soc. Rev. 30, 83-91.
- Zerkowski, J. A., MacDonald, J. C. & Whitesides, G. M. (1994). *Chem. Mater.* 6, 1250–1256.